

OLD VALUES - NEW HORIZONS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

3 North Lowell Road, Windham, New Hampshire 03087 (603) 432-3806 / Fax (603) 432-7362 www.WindhamNH.gov

1 2 3

4

5

Planning Board Workshop Draft Minutes

August 19th, 2020

7:00 pm at Community Development Meeting Room & Zoom Video Conference 3 North Lowell Road

6 7 8

9

Attendance:

Chair, Derek Monson, Present

- 10 Vice Chair, Alan Carpenter, via Zoom video conference
- 11 Joe Bradley, Excused
- 12 Jennean Mason, Present
- Ruth-Ellen Post, Present at 7:04, via Zoom video conference
- 14 Jacob Cross, Present, via Zoom video conference
- 15 Matt Rounds (alternate), Present, seated for Ms. Post until 7:04, via Zoom video conference
- Gabe Toubia (alternate), Present, seated for Mr. Bradley, via Zoom video conference
 - Tom Early (alternate), Present, seated at 8:03, via Zoom video conference
 - Heath Partington, Board of Selectmen liaison, Present, via Zoom video conference

18 19 20

17

Dick Gregory- Planning Board Director Renee Mallett- Minute Taker

212223

24

25

26

27

The meeting opened at 7:01 with the Pledge of Allegiance and the introduction of members. On March 23rd, because of the Covid-19 pandemic, Governor Sununu created Emergency Order #12. This has relaxed the requirements of RSA 91-A, III(c) and allowed the meeting to be held while still following the CDC guidelines for social distancing. As such many of the board members took part in the meeting via Zoom video conference.

28 29 30

31

The board reviewed the proposed sign for Dunkin' Donuts at 30 Indian Rock Road. Mr. Gregory said the sign met the town ordinances.

32 33

Ms. Post was seated at 7:04pm.

343536

37

Vice Chair Carpenter asked about a six-foot limit on signs. Mr. Gregory said this sign was within the Village Center District, which allowed up to 8 feet. Some discussion followed on the location of the two signs. The digital menu will be replacing the existing menu board, but it was not specified where the brand sign would go. Chair Monson directed Mr. Gregory to find out this information.

38 39 40

41

Ms. Mason made a motion to approve the Dunkin digital menu board as shown on the renderings. Ms. Post seconded the motion. The motion passed with the following roll-call vote:

42 Chair Monson: yes

43 Vice Chair Carpenter: yes

44 Ms. Mason: yes
45 Ms. Post: yes
46 Mr. Cross: yes
47 Mr. Toubia: yes
48 Mr. Partington: yes

David Demers, Chair of the Design Review, addressed the board and asked for their support in expanding the purview of the sub-committee. Mr. Demers said Design Review would like to review all commercial applications as they felt it was unfair that some businesses were required to get board feedback while others were not.

Chair Monson was in favor if this expansion and asked if there would be any issues with members having to meet more regularly. Mr. Demers said members were dedicated to making it work.

Ms. Post said the committee served an important function and asked what zones or districts they would like to see added to their purview. Mr. Demers said he wanted to see all businesses treated equally, saying that leveling the playing field was in the best interests of the town.

Vice Chair Carpenter suggested that all businesses and multi-family units should go before Design Review. Vice Chair Carpenter has been looking already at what needed to be changed in the design regulations to codify this. Vice Chair Carpenter said he would forward the work he had already done to the committee and asked them to work with staff to make the necessary edits for board review. Ms. Mason said this would work hand in hand with the efforts of updating the Master Plan to guide a more cohesive feel for development in town.

The board reviewed the latest updates to the survey questions for the creation of the Master Plan. Ms. Post asked about the wording of the waterline question, as it referenced votes taken last year and the more recent ballot initiatives from March 2020. What to call the waterline was also discussed as there is currently a waterline being created up Rt. 28 with an extension up Rt. 111 to the McDonalds.

About water, the board also decided that water quantity and water quality were not the same issue and should be separated. Vice Chair Carpenter said that not only were they separate issues, but they also had separate solutions.

To this point Mr. Rounds asked about re-inserting a previously deleted map of various areas located within town so the board could quantify which areas had what kinds of water concerns. He also suggested that in lieu of the map there could be an optional question about the street that the survey respondent resided on.

Chair Monson said that if people had issues with their wells a survey from the planning board would not be the right place for them to address that problem. Ms. Mason concurred saying it did not add to the knowledge the board needed to create a vision statement for the town.

Vice Chair Carpenter felt that it did fit the scope of the survey as water issues were directly influenced by development and zoning ordinances. He did not want to discount the influence the board did have over water.

Mr. Cross said the survey was currently on its eleventh iteration and that they were past the point of adding more questions. Vice Chair Carpenter disagreed. Chair Monson said that the inclusion of a map or an optional street question would be discussed after the rest of the survey was firmly established.

Mr. Toubia made a motion to accept the survey questions as presented, with the amendment that they reflect the edits made this evening, and that they be presented to the public. Mr. Cross seconded the motion. The motion passed with the following roll-call vote:

Chair Monson: yes Vice Chair Carpenter: yes 92 Ms. Mason: yes 93 Ms. Post: yes 94 Mr. Cross: yes 95 Mr. Toubia: yes 96 Mr. Partington: yes

97 98

99

Mr. Gregory cautioned that with the timing of the motion there would be a limited amount of time for the notice to run in the Windham Independent before the presentation. Vice Chair Carpenter said that with it being listed on the agenda and shared on social media that was enough notice.

100 101 102

103

Chair Monson directed Mr. Gregory to set up a meeting with town Attorney Campbell to discuss various planning issues. Chair Monson said he has not received any updates from the MS4 consultant hired by the town.

104 105 106

107

108

109

Mr. Rounds made an offer to research and write the first draft of the Master Plan. Mr. Rounds was volunteering and not asking for compensation for this effort. He asked for access to some town data and reimbursement for anything that needed to be purchased to complete the draft, saying that a lot of demographic data was commercially available. Mr. Rounds talked about the research he had already done into the mandatory and optional sections needed for the Master Plan.

110 111 112

Mr. Earley was seated at 8:03.

113 114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

Ms. Post thanked Mr. Rounds for his generous offer but said she had concerns with one person writing the entire plan. She thought the board needed more time to decide. Mr. Cross said that people would be more willing to give their time to edit and contribute if they were presented with a rough draft to work from. He thought it would encourage more involvement.

Vice Chair Carpenter thought the project would be more work than Mr. Rounds initially realized. He suggested Mr. Rounds write the vision statement to see how the process went. Mr. Rounds agreed but said the starting chapter would work best if written by committee. Vice Chair Carpenter suggested that he pick any chapter for his initial effort. Mr. Toubia agreed with this plan.

Mr. Cross said he had already written a draft of what he thought the housing section should look like and offered to send it to the board for review.

Chair Monson said the plan was always to start with the vision statement and then go from there. Mr. Rounds said he would work on a rough draft for the statement and another chapter to start.

125 126 127

128

129

Vice Chair Carpenter made a motion to accept the minutes of 6-10-20. Ms. Mason seconded the motion. The motion passed with the following roll-call vote and Ms. Post abstaining as she was not present for the meeting:

130 **Chair Monson: yes** 131 **Vice Chair Carpenter: yes** 132 Ms. Mason: yes 133 Ms. Post: abstain 134 Mr. Cross: yes

Mr. Toubia: yes

Mr. Partington: yes

135 136

137 138

139

The board discussed going into a non-public session for reasons of reputation. Mr. Gregory said when the board did so the person who was being discussed had the right to ask that the meeting be held in public. Both Mr. Gregory and Mr. Norman said they were fine with the non-public session being held publicly.

Vice Chair Carpenter said he thought that precise minutes should be kept for the non-public session and that they could be posted afterwards if appropriate. He also said the board could hold off on the discussion until getting an opinion from Attorney Campbell.

Mr. Partington said he hesitated to have the discussion in public as the purpose of the RSA was to protect those being discussed. Mr. Cross said it seemed backwards to him to have the people who were being discussed as part of the meeting. He did not want to proactively make the discussion public as he did not even know what was going to be talked about.

Vice Chair Carpenter said they would be discussing the planning department as a whole. He said this consisted of a staff of six people and he did not think that one individual should have access to the non-public session as the names of others in the department could come up. He called talking about one staff member about another a tenuous situation. Vice Chair Carpenter added that the board had no hiring or firing influence and that no personnel action would take place as a consequence of the discussion.

Mr. Rounds agreed that individual board members might not feel they could speak freely in a public forum. Mr. Earley added that, as a procedural matter, the board was in their rights to decide who could attend a non-public meeting.

Mr. Gregory clarified that it was not that he wanted to attend the non-public session but rather that it should be a public discussion.

Vice Chair Carpenter motioned for the board to go into a non-public session, per RSA 91-A:3, II (c). Mr. Cross seconded the motion. The motion was granted with the following roll-call vote:

Chair Monson: yes Vice Chair Carpenter: yes

Vice Chair Carpente
Ms. Mason: yes
Ms. Post: abstain
Mr. Cross: yes
Mr. Toubia: yes

Mr. Partington: yes